

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

MATTHEW STEFAN MORALES,
Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

MICHAEL CLARK, *et al.*,
Respondents.

NO. 19-4971

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of June, 2025, upon consideration of Petitioner's Motion to Alter Judgment (ECF No. 37) and Respondents' Brief in Opposition (ECF No. 38), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

1. Petitioner's Motion (ECF No. 37) is **DENIED** for **LACK OF JURISDICTION** without prejudice to his right to file an application to file a second or successive habeas petition with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A).
2. A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) because reasonable jurists would not debate the propriety of this Court's procedural rulings regarding the claims raised in Petitioner's Motion. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).
3. Petitioner's Motion for Leave to File a Reply Brief (ECF No. 40) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

BY THE COURT:

S/ WENDY BEETLESTONE

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.